



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,375	10/20/2003	David W. Baarman	120270.120488-001	3356
28440 7590 11/03/2008 WARNER, NORCROSS & JUDD IN RE: ALTICOR INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 111 LYON STREET, N. W. STE 900 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503-2489				
EXAMINER				
AMAYA, CARLOS DAVID				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2836				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/03/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/689,375

**Applicant(s)**

BAARMAN, DAVID W.

**Examiner**

CARLOS AMAYA

**Art Unit**

2836

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CARLOS AMAYA.(3) Charles Burpee.(2) Stephen Jackson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 13 and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: Stephens (US 5,734,254); Parks et al. (US 5,455,466).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative suggested amending claims 1 and 13 to more clearly defined the sequence of communication arrangements; examiner suggested clarifying that the adapter is separable/removable. With respect to claim 23 we agreed that the prior art does not disclose the fourth communication link as recited in the claim.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Stephen W Jackson/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2836